arXiv:1404.6821v1 [math.CO] 27 Apr 2014

ON $(4m:2m)$-CHOOSABLE GRAPHS

JIXIAN MENG, GREGORY J. PULEO, AND XUDING ZHU

Abstract. A graph G is called $(a:b)$-choosable if for any list assignment L which assigns to each vertex v a set $L(v)$ of a permissible colors, there is a b-tuple L-coloring of G. An $(a:1)$-choosable graph is also called a-choosable. In the pioneering paper on list coloring of graphs by Erdős, Rubin and Taylor [2], 2-choosable graphs are characterized. Confirming a special case of a conjecture in [2], Tuza and Voigt [3] proved that 2-choosable graphs are $(2m{:}m)$-choosable for any positive integer m. On the other hand, Voigt [6] proved that if m is an odd integer, then these are the only $(2m:m)$-choosable graphs; however, when m is even, there are $(2m:m)$-choosable graphs that are not 2-choosable. A graph is called 3-choosable-critical if it is not 2-choosable, but all its proper subgraphs are 2-choosable. Voigt conjectured that for every positive integer m, all 3-choosable-critical bipartite graphs are $(4m:2m)$-choosable. In this paper, we determine which 3-choosable-critical graphs are $(4:2)$-choosable, refuting Voigt's conjecture in the process. Nevertheless, a weaker version of the conjecture is true: we prove that there is an even integer k such that for any positive integer m, every 3-choosable-critical bipartite graph is $(2km{:}km)$-choosable. Moving beyond 3-choosable-critical graphs, we present an infinite family of non-3-choosable-critical graphs which have been shown by computer analysis to be $(4:2)$-choosable, which shows that the family of all $(4:2)$-choosable graphs has rich structure.

1. Introduction

Multiple list colouring of graphs was introduced in the 1970s by Erdős, Rubin and Taylor [2]. A *list assignment* is a function L which assigns to each vertex v a set of permissible colours $L(v)$. A *b-tuple colouring* of a graph G is a function f that assigns to each vertex v a set $f(v)$ of b colours so that $f(u) \cap f(v) = \emptyset$ for any edge uv of G. Given a list assignment L of G, a *b-tuple L-colouring* of G, also called an $(L:b)$-colouring of G, is a b-tuple colouring f of G with $f(v) \subseteq L(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$. We say G is *$(L:b)$-colourable* if there is a b-tuple L-colouring of G, and say G is *$(a:b)$-choosable* if G is $(L:b)$-colourable for any list assignment L with $|L(v)| = a$ for all v. A $(a:1)$-choosable graph is also called *a-choosable*. The *choice number* $\mathrm{ch}(G)$ of a graph G is the smallest integer a such that G is a-choosable. List colouring of graphs has been studied extensively in the literature; see [4] for a survey.

The family of 2-choosable graphs was characterized by Erdős, Rubin and Taylor [2]. These graphs have very simple structure. We define the *core* of a graph G to be the graph obtained by iteratively deleting vertices of degree 1. It is easy to see that a graph is 2-choosable if and only if its core is 2-choosable. It was proved in [2] that a graph G is 2-choosable if and only if its core is K_1 or an even

Date: April 29, 2014.
This research supported partially by grants: NSF11171310 and ZJNSF Z6110786.

cycle or $\Theta_{2,2,2p}$ for some positive integer p, where $\Theta_{r,s,t}$ is the graph consisting of two end vertices u and v joined by three internally vertex-disjoint paths containing r, s, and t edges respectively. Erdős, Rubin, and Taylor [2] conjectured that if a graph is $(a:b)$-choosable, then it is $(am:bm)$-choosable for every positive integer m; Tuza and Voigt [3] confirmed a special case of this conjecture by proving that all 2-choosable graphs are $(2m:m)$-choosable for all m, but the conjecture is otherwise open. Moreover, Voigt [6] proved that if m is an odd integer, then these are the only $(2m:m)$-choosable graphs.

When m is even, the family of $(2m:m)$-choosable graphs has much richer structure. A *b-tuple a-colouring* of a graph G is a b-tuple colouring f of G with $f(v) \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, a\}$ for each v. We say G is *$(a:b)$-colourable* if such a colouring exists. Alon, Tuza, and Voigt [1] showed that if a graph G is $(a:b)$-colourable, then there is a positive integer k such that G is $(akm:bkm)$-choosable for all m. In particular, for any bipartite graph G, there is a positive integer m such that G is $(2m:m)$-choosable.

This paper is devoted to the study of $(4m:2m)$-choosability. In particular, we are interested in the question of which graphs are $(4:2)$-choosable.

A graph G is called *3-choosable-critical* if G is not 2-choosable, but any proper subgraph is 2-choosable. The family of 3-choosable-critical graphs is characterized by Voigt [6]:

Theorem 1.1 (Voigt [6])**.** *A graph is 3-choosable-critical if and only if it is one of the following:*

(a) two vertex-disjoint even cycles joined by a path,
(b) two even cycles with exactly one vertex in common,
(c) a $\Theta_{2r,2s,2t}$-graph or $\Theta_{2r-1,2s-1,2t-1}$-graph with $r \geq 1$ and $s, t > 1$,
(d) a $\Theta_{2,2,2,2t}$-graph with $t \geq 1$,
(e) an odd cycle.

Voigt conjectured that for any positive integer m, all bipartite 3-choosable-critical graphs are $(4m:2m)$-choosable. In this paper, we prove the following characterization of the $(4:2)$-choosable 3-choosable-critical graphs, which refutes Voigt's conjecture:

Theorem 1.2. *A 3-choosable-critical graph is $(4:2)$-choosable if and only if it is one of the following:*

(a) two vertex-disjoint even cycles joined by a path,
(b) two even cycles with exactly one vertex in common,
(c) a $\Theta_{2,2s,2t}$-graph or $\Theta_{1,2s-1,2t-1}$-graph with $s, t > 1$,
(d) $\Theta_{2,2,2,2}$.

(Note that $\Theta_{2,2,2,2} \cong K_{2,4}$.) In particular, among the bipartite 3-choosable-critical graphs, when r, s, t have the same parity and $\min\{r, s, t\} \geq 3$, the graph $\Theta_{r,s,t}$ fails to be $(4:2)$-choosable, and when $t > 1$, the graph $\Theta_{2,2,2,2t}$ fails to be $(4:2)$-choosable.

Nevertheless, a weaker version of Voigt's conjecture is true:

Theorem 1.3. *There is an integer k such that for every positive integer m, every 3-choosable-critical graph is $(4km:2km)$-choosable.*

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the main lemmas and definitions needed for the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 3 we collect some more useful lemmas of a more technical nature.

In Section 4 we prove that theta graphs of the form $\Theta_{2,2s,2t}$ and $\Theta_{1,2s-1,2t-1}$ are $(4:2)$-choosable. In Section 5 we apply these results to show that if G consists of two vertex-disjoint even cycles joined by a path or two even cycles sharing a vertex, then G is $(4:2)$-choosable. Tuza and Voigt have already shown [5] that $K_{2,4}$ is $(4m:2m)$-choosable for all m, so this completes the positive direction of Theorem 1.2.

In Section 6 we present list assignments showing that $\Theta_{3,3,3}$, $\Theta_{4,4,4}$, and $\Theta_{2,2,2,4}$ are not (4:2)-choosable; a quick argument given in that section shows that the larger theta graphs also fail to be $(4:2)$-choosable. This completes the characterization on the $(4:2)$-choosable 3-choosable-critical graphs.

In Section 7, we prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 8, we present some non-3-choosable-critical graphs and briefly discuss the computer analysis that demonstrates that these graphs are $(4:2)$-choosable. We close with a conjectured characterization of the $(4:2)$-choosable graphs.

2. Paths and Damage

Assume that P is an n-vertex path with vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ in this order, and that L is a list assignment on P such that $|L(v_1)| = |L(v_n)| \geq 2m$ and $|L(v_i)| = 4m$ for $i \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Let $X_1 = L(v_1)$ and let $X_i = L(v_i) - X_{i-1}$ for $i > 1$. We define $S_L(P)$ by

$$S_L(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |X_i|.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Under the above hypotheses, P is $(L:2m)$-colourable if and only if $S_L(P) \geq 2mn$.*

Proof. By induction on n. The claim is trivial for $n = 1$. Assume that $n \geq 2$ and the claim holds for smaller n. Let $P' = P - v_n$, and observe that if $X'_1, \ldots X'_{n-1}$ are computed as above for P', then $X'_i = X_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Since $|X_1| \geq 2m$ and $|X_i| + |X_{i-1}| \geq |L(v_i)| \geq 4m$ for $i \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |X'_i| \geq 2(n-1)m$.

First assume $S_L(P) \geq 2nm$. We shall prove that P is $(L:2m)$-colourable. We determine a $2m$-set of colours $\phi(v_n)$ to be assigned to v_n as follows: when $|X_n| \geq 2m$, let $\phi(v_n)$ be any $2m$-subset contained in X_n; when $|X_n| < 2m$, let $\phi(v_n)$ be any $2m$-subset of $L(v_n)$ containing X_n.

Let L^* be the restriction of L to P', except that $L^*(v_{n-1}) = L(v_{n-1}) - \phi(v_n)$. When $|X_n| \geq 2m$, we have $S_{L^*}(P') = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |X_i| \geq 2(n-1)m$, since $\phi(v_n) \cap X_{n-1} = \emptyset$; when $|X_n| < 2m$, we have $S_{L^*}(P') \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} |X_i| - |\phi(v_n)| \geq 2(n-1)m$, since $\phi(v_n) \supseteq X_n$. Either way, by the induction hypothesis, P' has an $(L^*{:}2m)$-colouring, which extends to an $(L:2m)$-colouring of P by assigning $\phi(v_n)$ to v_n.

For the other direction, let ϕ be an $(L:2m)$-colouring of P_n. Let L^* be the restriction of L to P', except that $L^*(v_{n-1}) = L(v_{n-1}) - \phi(v_n)$, and let $X_1^*, \ldots X_n^*$ be computed for L^*. Since ϕ is a an $(L^* : 2m)$-colouring of P', the induction

hypothesis implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}|X_i^*| = S_{L^*}(P') \geq 2(n-1)m.$$

It is easy to verify that $X_i = X_i^*$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-2$, and $|X_{n-1}| + |X_n| \geq |X_{n-1}^*| + |\phi(v_n)| \geq |X_{n-1}^*| + m$. Hence $S_L(P_n) \geq 2nm$. □

Our typical strategy for showing that a graph G is $(4m:2m)$-choosable is as follows: identify a set of vertices X such that $G - X$ is a linear forest (disjoint union of paths), and find a precolouring of X such that each path P in $G - X$ satisfies $S_{L^*}(P) \geq 2|V(P)|$, where L^* is obtained from L by removing from each vertex of $G-X$ the colours used on its neighbors in X. Lemma 2.1 then guarantees that we can extend the precolouring of X to the rest of the graph, as desired.

In order to carry out this strategy, we need to know how $S_L(P_n)$ changes when colours are removed from the endpoints of P. For our purposes, it suffices to consider the case where P has an odd number of vertices. Before stating the results, we set up some more notation.

Definition 2.2. If L is a list assignment on P_n and p, q are sets of colours, we define $L \ominus (p, q)$ to be the list assignment obtained from L by deleting all colours in p from $L(v_1)$, all colours in q from $L(v_n)$, and leaving all other lists unchanged.

Definition 2.3. Let L be a list assignment on a path P. Define

$$A = \bigcap_{x \in V(P)} L(x).$$

For $c \in L(v_1) - A$, let $f(c) = \min\{i\colon\ c \notin L_i\}$. We define $\hat{X}_1$ by

$$\hat{X}_1 = \{c \in L(v_1) - A\colon\ f(c) \text{ is even}\}.$$

Finally, we define $\hat{X}_n = X_n - A$.

Despite the apparent asymmetry in this definition, the sets $\hat{X}_1$ and $\hat{X}_n$ are symmetric in the following sense: if we let $Y_n = L(v_n)$ and $Y_i = L(v_i) - Y_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, then $Y_1 - A = \hat{X}_1$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let L be a list assignment on an n-vertex path P, where n is odd. For any sets of colours p, q, we have*

$$S_{L\ominus(p,q)}(P) = S_L(P) - \left(\left|(A \cup \hat{X}_1) \cap p\right| + \left|(A \cup \hat{X}_n) \cap q\right| - |A \cap p \cap q|\right).$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the effect of deleting just one colour c. First we consider deleting the colours in q from $L(v_n)$. Clearly, if $c \notin X_n$ then deleting the colour c from $L(v_n)$ has no effect on $S_L(P)$, since it does not change any X_i. On the other hand, if $c \in X_n = A \cup \hat{X}_n$, then deleting the colour c from $L(v_n)$ decreases $S_L(P)$ by exactly 1.

Next we consider deleting a colour c from $L(v_1)$. Here, unlike with $L(v_n)$, the changes in X_1 can "ripple" through later X_i. If $c \notin X_1 = L(v_1)$, then deleting c from $L(v_1)$ clearly does not change any X_i, hence does not change $S_L(P)$.

Now suppose $c \in X_1 - A$. Deleting c from $L(v_1)$ causes c to be removed from X_1. However, if $f(c) \neq 2$, we have $c \in L(v_2)$, so we gain c in X_2. Now this may cause us to lose c in X_3, gain c in X_4, and so forth. The process continues until we reach $v_{f(c)}$, which does not have c in its list, so $X_{f(c)}$ does not change. In total, we

lose c from the sets $X_1, X_3, \ldots, X_{f(c)-2}$ and gain c in the sets $X_2, X_4, \ldots, X_{f(c)-1}$. If $f(c)$ is odd, then we have gained and lost c an equal number of times, so there is no net change in $S_L(P)$. If $f(c)$ is even, then we have lost c one more time than we have gained it, so $S_L(P)$ has decreased by 1.

Finally, suppose $c \in X_1 \cap A$. Deleting c from $L(v_1)$ causes the same ripple process described above, terminating when we try to delete c from X_n (since n is odd). If $c \notin q$, then as before, this causes $S_L(P)$ to decrease by 1. However, if $c \in q$, then we have *already* deleted c from X_n, so in this step we really gain and lose c an equal number of times (effectively, deleting c from X_n caused c to leave A and caused $f(c)$ to become odd). Thus, when $c \in p \cap q \cap A$, deleting c from both endpoints of L decreases $S_L(P)$ by exactly 1, but such colours are double-counted in the sum $|(A \cup \hat{X}_1) \cap p| + |(A \cup \hat{X}_n) \cap q|$. The final term $|A \cap p \cap q|$ corrects for this overcount. □

Together, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 allow us to ignore the details of the list assignment and focus on the sets $\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_n, A$, as described below:

Definition 2.5. For a pair of colour sets p, q, the *damage* of (p, q) with respect to L and P is written $\mathrm{dam}_{L,P}(p, q)$ and defined by

$$\mathrm{dam}_{L,P}(p, q) = S_L(P) - S_{L \ominus (p,q)}(P).$$

Lemma 2.4 shows that given a pair p, q of colour sets, the damage $\mathrm{dam}_{L,P}(p, q)$ just depends on $\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_n$ and A.

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a graph, and let $X \subseteq V(G)$ be a set of vertices such that every component of $G - X$ is a path with an odd number of vertices. The graph G is $(L:2m)$-colourable if and only if $G[X]$ has an $(L:2m)$-colouring ϕ such that for every path P in $G - X$, the following conditions hold:*

(i) $|L(v_1) \cap \phi(N_X(v_1))| \leq 2m$,

(ii) $|L(v_n) \cap \phi(N_X(v_n))| \leq 2m$, *and*

(iii) $\mathrm{dam}_{L,P}(\phi(N_X(v_1)), \phi(N_X(v_n))) \leq S_L(P) - 2mn$.

Proof. Clearly, G is $(L:2m)$-colourable if and only if $G[X]$ has an $(L:2m)$-colouring ϕ that extends to P. For each path P, we show that ϕ extends to P if and only if ϕ satisfies conditions (i)–(iii). Conditions (i) and (ii) are clearly necessary, so it suffices to show that when Conditions (i) and (ii) hold, ϕ extends to P if and only if Condition (iii) holds. This follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4.

Hence, we may extend ϕ to each path in $G - X$. Since these paths are separate components of $G - X$, making all of these extensions simultaneously yields an $(L:2m)$-colouring of G. □

Observation 2.7. If v_1 and v_n also have degree 2, then Conditions (i) and (ii) are trivially satisfied by any ϕ, so we only need to check Condition (iii).

3. Technical Lemmas

To apply Lemma 2.6, we need to find lower bounds for $S_L(P)$ and upper bounds for $\mathrm{dam}_{L,P}(p, q)$. In this section, we collect some technical lemmas regarding bounds for $S_L(P)$ and upper bounds for $\mathrm{dam}_{L,P}(p, q)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let L be a list assignment on an n-vertex path P, where n is odd and $|L(v_i)| = 4m$ for all i. Then*

$$S_L(P) = 2nm - 2m + \sum_{\substack{k \text{ even} \\ k<n}} |X_{k-1} - L(v_k)| + |X_n|$$

Proof. By induction on n. When $n = 1$, the sum is empty and $2nm - 2m = 0$, so the claim is just $S_L(P) = |X_1|$, which is clearly true. Assume that $n > 1$ and the claim holds for smaller odd n. Let $P' = P - \{v_{n-1}, v_n\}$ and let L' be the restriction of L to P', so that $S_L(P) = S_{L'}(P') + |X_{n-1}| + |X_n|$. Applying the induction hypothesis to P' yields

$$S_L(P) = \left(2nm - 6m + \sum_{\substack{k \text{ even} \\ k<n-2}} |X_{k-1} - L(v_k)| + |X_{n-2}| \right) + |X_{n-1}| + |X_n|$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |X_{n-1}| &= |L(v_{n-1}) - X_{n-2}| \\ &= |L(v_{n-1})| - |X_{n-2}| + |X_{n-2} - L(v_{n-1})| \\ &= 4m - |X_{n-2}| + |X_{n-2} - L(v_{n-1})| \end{aligned}$$

Combining these terms with the terms from $S_{L'}(P')$ gives the desired expression for $S_L(P)$. □

The following two lower bounds on $S_L(P)$ will be useful.

Lemma 3.2. *If L is a list assignment on an n-vertex path P, where n is odd and $|L(v_i)| = 4m$ for all i, then*

$$S_L(P) \geq 2nm - 2m + |\hat{X}_1| + |\hat{X}_n| + |A|.$$

Proof. By the definition of $\hat{X}_1$, every element of $\hat{X}_1$ appears in a set of the form $X_{k-1} - L(v_k)$ where k is even. Thus, the claim follows from Lemma 3.1, since $|X_n| = |\hat{X}_n| + |A|$. □

Lemma 3.3. *If L is a list assignment on an n-vertex path P, where n is odd and $|L(v_i)| = 4m$ for all i, then $S_L(P) \geq 2nm + 2m$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the definition $S_L(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |X_i|$ and the observations that $|X_1| = |L(v_1)| = 4m$ and that $|X_i| + |X_{i+1}| \geq 4m$ for $i > 1$. □

4. (4 : 2)-choosable Theta Graphs

In the remainder of this paper, we concentrate on (4 : 2)-choosability of graphs. So the list assignments L will have $|L(x)| = 4$ for all vertices x. By a *colour set*, we mean a set of 2 colours. For brevity, a pair of colours sets will simply be called a *pair*.

In this section, we show that $\Theta_{r,s,t}$ is (4 : 2)-choosable if r, s, t have the same parity and $\min\{r, s, t\} \leq 2$. In Section 6, we will show that $\min\{r, s, t\} \geq 3$ implies that $\Theta_{r,s,t}$ is not (4 : 2)-choosable.

We first use an observation of Voigt to restrict to the case where r, s, t are even.



FIGURE 1. The graph $\Theta_{2,4,4}$.

Lemma 4.1 (Voigt [6])**.** *Let G be a graph, let $v \in V(G)$, and let G' be obtained from G by deleting v and merging its neighbors. If G is $(4:2)$-choosable, then G' is $(4:2)$-choosable.*

The transformation used in Lemma 4.1 was first used in [2], which observed that if G is 2-choosable, then G' is also 2-choosable. Voigt [6] made the stronger observation that if G is $(2m:m)$-choosable, then G' is also $(2m:m)$-choosable.

Corollary 4.2. *If $\Theta_{2,2r,2s}$ is $(4:2)$-choosable, then $\Theta_{1,2r-1,2s-1}$ is $(4:2)$-choosable.*

Proof. Applying the operation of Lemma 4.1 to a vertex v of degree 3 transforms $\Theta_{2,2r,2s}$ into $\Theta_{1,2r-1,2s-1}$. □

It therefore suffices to show that $\Theta_{2,2r,2s}$ is $(4:2)$-choosable for all $r, s \geq 1$. Similar techniques will allow us to deal with cycles sharing a vertex or joined by a path.

Figure 1 shows $\Theta_{2,4,4}$, as a reference. The vertices of degree 3 play a special role in the proof; we call them u and v. The idea is to show that for any list assignment L on $\Theta_{2,2r,2s}$, there is a pair p, q with $p \subseteq L(u)$, $q \subseteq L(v)$ such that $\mathrm{dam}_{L,P}(p,q) \leq S_L(P) - 2|V(P)|$ for all internal paths P. By Lemma 2.6, this implies that $\Theta_{2,2r,2s}$ is $(4:2)$-choosable.

Fix a list assignment L, and let $L(u) = \{c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ and $L(v) = \{c'_0, c'_1, c'_2, c'_3\}$, where the colours are indexed so that $c'_j = c_j$ whenever $c_j \in L(u) \cap L(v)$. We call a pair $c_i c'_i$ of colours a *couple*. A *simple pair* is a pair $p \subseteq L(u)$, $q \subseteq L(v)$ such that for all j, $c_j \in p$ if and only if $c'_j \in q$. A *simple solution* is a simple pair (p, q) such that $\mathrm{dam}(p,q) \leq S_L(P) - 2|V(P)|$ for all internal paths P. Observe that the definition of simple pair depends on the pairing of the colours of $L(u)$ and colours in $L(v)$. With any fixed pairing of the colours (i.e., fixed indexing of the colours in $L(u)$ and $L(v)$), we first try to find a simple solution. We show that a simple solution exists unless L has a very specific form. Then we address this form as a special case.

Lemma 2.4 implies that if p, q is a simple pair, then

$$\mathrm{dam}(p,q) = \sum_{\substack{c_j \in p \\ c'_j \in q}} \mathrm{dam}(\{c_j\}, \{c'_j\}).$$

In other words, when p, q is a simple pair, we can simply calculate the damage of each couple in p, q independently, and add them together to obtain $\mathrm{dam}(p,q)$. Moreover, for each i, $\mathrm{dam}_{L,P}(\{c_i\}, \{c'_i\}) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. We say that:

- The couple $c_j c'_j$ is *heavy* for the internal path P if $\mathrm{dam}_{L,P}(\{c_j\}, \{c'_j\}) = 2$;
- The couple $c_j c'_j$ is *light* for the internal path P if $\mathrm{dam}_{L,P}(\{c_j\}, \{c'_j\}) = 1$;
- The couple $c_j c'_j$ is *safe* for the internal path P if $\mathrm{dam}_{L,P}(\{c_j\}, \{c'_j\}) = 0$.

Definition 4.3. We say that an internal path P *blocks* a pair (p, q) if $\mathrm{dam}_{L,P}(p, q) > S_L(P) - 2|V(P)|$, i.e., if we cannot extend the partial colouring $\phi(u) = p$, $\phi(v) = q$ to all of P.

Observe that if $c_jc'_j$ is heavy for P, then $c_j \in \hat{X}_1$ and $c'_j \in \hat{X}_n$; if $c_jc'_j$ is light for P, then either $c_j = c'_j$ and $c_j \in A$, or else $|\{c_j\} \cap \hat{X}_1| + |\{c'_j\} \cap \hat{X}_n| = 1$.

Now we count how many simple pairs are blocked by each internal path. This lemma actually holds for any theta graph, not necessarily $\Theta_{r,s,t}$.

Lemma 4.4. *In any theta graph, each internal path P blocks at most 2 simple pairs, and if P blocks 2 simple pairs, then $S_L(P) = 2|V(P)| + 2$, and P has one heavy couple and two light couples.*

Proof. Let $n = |V(P)|$. By the $m = 1$ case of Lemma 3.3, $S_L(P) \geq 2n + 2$. If $S_L(P) \geq 2n + 4$, then P does not block any simple pairs, since for any pair (p, q), we have $\mathrm{dam}(p, q) \leq 4$. Hence it suffices to consider $S_L(P) \in \{2n + 2, 2n + 3\}$.

Observe that in both cases, P has at most 2 heavy couples: if $c_jc'_j$ is a heavy couple, then $c_j \in \hat{X}_1$ and $c'_j \in \hat{X}_n$, so the $m = 1$ case of Lemma 3.2 implies that if P has 3 heavy couples, then $S_L(P) \geq 2n + 4$.

If $S_L(P) = 2n + 3$, then P only blocks the simple pair (p, q) if $\mathrm{dam}(p, q) = 4$, i.e., if both couples used in (p, q) are heavy. Since P has at most 2 heavy couples, this implies that P blocks at most 1 simple pair.

If $S_L(P) = 2n+2$, then P blocks the simple pair (p, q) if and only if $\mathrm{dam}(p, q) \geq 3$, i.e., if one of the couples in (p, q) is heavy and the other is not safe. Lemma 3.2 implies that if P has 2 heavy couples, then P has no light couple, since if $c_jc'_j$ is light, then either $c_j \in \hat{X}_1 \cup A$ or $c'_j \in \hat{X}_n$. Likewise, if P has 1 heavy couple, then P has at most 2 light couples. The desired conclusion follows. □

Now we specialize to the $\Theta_{r,s,t}$ case.

Corollary 4.5. *In the theta graph $\Theta_{r,s,t}$, if L has no simple solution, then every simple pair (p, q) is blocked by exactly one internal path. In particular, each couple $c_jc'_j$ is heavy for at most one internal path P.*

Proof. There are 6 simple pairs, and each of the three internal paths blocks at most 2 of them; this proves the first part. If the couple $c_jc'_j$ is heavy for two different internal paths P and Q, then since P and Q each have two light couples, there is some couple $c_kc'_k$ that is light for both P and Q. Now the pair $(\{c_j, c_k\}, \{c'_j, c'_k\})$ is blocked by both P and Q, contradicting the first part of the corollary. □

We now must handle the case where L has no simple solution. First we refine our notation. Let P^0, P^1, P^2 be the internal paths of $\Theta_{2,2r,2s}$, with $|V(P^0)| = 1$. By Corollary 4.5, we may reindex $L(u)$ and $L(v)$ so that for all $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $c_jc'_j$ is heavy for P^j. (By simultaneously permuting the labels in $L(u)$ and $L(v)$, this maintains the original property that $c'_j = c_j$ whenever $c_j \in L(u) \cap L(v)$.) With this new notation, we have the following further consequence of Corollary 4.5:

Corollary 4.6. *If L has no simple solution, then $c_3c'_3$ is light for all internal paths P^i, and one of the two following situations must hold:*

(a) $c_1c'_1$ is light for P^0, $c_2c'_2$ is light for P^1, and $c_0c'_0$ is light for P^2, or
(b) $c_2c'_2$ is light for P^0, $c_0c'_0$ is light for P^1, and $c_1c'_1$ is light for P^2.

The proof is straightforward but tedious, so we omit it. Observe that these two situations are symmetric in P^1 and P^2; since the desired result is also symmetric in P^1 and P^2, it suffices to handle situation (a).

Since $|V(P^0)| = 1$, we know that $\hat{X}_1 = \hat{X}_n = \emptyset$ for P^0. Hence, since c_0c_0' is heavy for P_0, we must have $c_0 \neq c_0'$. Hence $c_0 \notin L(u) \cap L(v)$ and $c_0' \notin L(u) \cap L(v)$.

Now consider P^2. Since $c_0 \neq c_0'$ and c_0c_0' is light for P^2, we must have either $c_0 \notin \hat{X}_1$ or $c_0' \notin \hat{X}_n$. If $c_0 \notin \hat{X}_1$, then let $p = \{c_0, c_3\}$ and let $q = \{c_2', c_3'\}$.

We check that (p, q) does at most 2 damage to each internal path. Since c_4c_4' is light for all internal paths, the couple c_3c_3' does at most 1 damage to each internal path. Taking $c_0 \in p$ does 1 damage to P^0 and no damage to P^1 and P^2, since c_0c_0' is safe for P^1 and $c_0 \notin \hat{X}_1$. Taking $c_2' \in q$ does 1 damage to P^1 and P^2 and no damage to P^0, since c_2c_2' is safe for P^0. Hence we have done at most 2 damage to each internal path, as desired.

The case $c_0' \notin \hat{X}_n$ is similar; here, we take $p = \{c_2, c_3\}$ and $q = \{c_0', c_3'\}$.

Tuza and Voigt have already shown [5] that $K_{2,4}$ is $(4m:2m)$-choosable for all m, so this completes the positive direction of Theorem 1.2.

5. Even Cycles Sharing a Vertex or Joined by a Path

In this section, we show that if G consists of two cycles sharing a single vertex or two vertex-disjoint cycles joined by a path, then G is $(4:2)$-choosable. In fact, one can show that these graphs are $(4m:2m)$-choosable for all m; in the interest of brevity, we prove only the $(4:2)$-choosability case, which allows us to reuse some tools from the previous section.

Definition 5.1. Let P be a path with an odd number of vertices, let L be a list assignment on P, and let W be a set of 4 colours. A *bad W-set* for P is a set $p \subseteq W$ of 2 colours such that $\mathrm{dam}(p, p) > S_L(P) - 2|V(P)|$.

Lemma 5.2. *If P is a path with an odd number of vertices and W is any set of 4 colours, then P has at most 2 bad W-sets.*

Proof. Consider the graph H obtained by adding new vertices u and v on the ends of P, with $L(u) = L(v) = W$. Considering P as an internal path in H (as in Section 4), we see that p is a bad set for P if and only if P blocks the simple pair (p, p). By Lemma 4.4, it follows that P has at most 2 bad sets. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let P be a path with endpoints u and v. For every list assignment L on P, there is an injective function $h : \binom{L(u)}{2} \to \binom{L(v)}{2}$ such that for all $p \in \binom{L(u)}{2}$, the precolouring $\phi(u) = p$, $\phi(v) = h(p)$ extends to all of P.*

Proof. By induction on $|V(P)|$. When $|V(P)| = 1$ or $|V(P)| = 2$, the claim clearly holds: when $|V(P)| = 1$ we may take h to be the identity function, and when $|V(P)| = 2$ it suffices that $p \cap h(p) = \emptyset$ for all p; such an h is easy to construct.

Hence we may assume that $|V(P)| > 2$ and the claim holds for smaller P. Let v' be the unique neighbor of v. We split P into the u, v'-subpath Q_1 and the v', v-subpath Q_2, overlapping only at v'. Let h_1 and h_2 be the functions for Q_1 and Q_2 respectively, as guaranteed by the induction hypothesis. Composing h_2 and h_1, we see that $h_2 \circ h_1$ has the desired properties. □

We handle "two cycles sharing a vertex" as a special case of "two cycles joined by a path", considering the shared vertex as a path on 1 vertex.



FIGURE 2. Decomposing G into P, Q, R.



(a) $\Theta_{2,2,2,4}$ (b) $\Theta_{3,3,3}$

FIGURE 3. Noncolourable list assignments for $\Theta_{2,2,2,4}$ and $\Theta_{3,3,3}$.

Corollary 5.4. *If G is a graph consisting of two even cycles joined by a (possibly-trivial) path, then G is $(4:2)$-choosable.*

Proof. Let C and D be the cycles in G, and let $u \in V(C)$ and $v \in V(D)$ be the endpoints of the path joining C and D. Let $P = C - u$, let $R = D - v$, and let Q be the path joining u and v, so that P, Q, R are disjoint paths with $V(P) \cup V(Q) \cup V(R) = V(G)$. The situation is illustrated in Figure 2. By Lemma 5.2, the path P has at most two bad $L(u)$-sets, and the path R has at most two bad $L(v)$-sets. Let $h : \binom{L(u)}{2} \to \binom{L(v)}{2}$ be the injection guaranteed by Lemma 5.3. Since there are 6 ways to choose a set $p \in \binom{L(u)}{2}$, we see that there is some p such that p is not bad for P and $h(p)$ is not bad for Q. It follows that we may extend the precolouring $\phi(u) = p$, $\phi(v) = h(p)$ to all of P, Q, and R. □

6. Non-$(4:2)$-Choosable Theta Graphs

In this section, we argue that if $\min\{r, s, t\} \geq 3$, then $\Theta_{r,s,t}$ is not $(4:2)$-choosable, and that if $t \geq 2$, then $\Theta_{2,2,2,2t}$ is not $(4:2)$-choosable. Figure 3 shows noncolourable list assignments for $\Theta_{2,2,2,4}$ and $\Theta_{3,3,3}$.

To show that larger theta graphs are not $(4:2)$-choosable, we again apply Lemma 4.1. In particular, the contrapositive of Lemma 4.1 states that if G' is not $(4:2)$-choosable, then G is not $(4:2)$ choosable either. Hence $\Theta_{4,4,4}$ is not $(4:2)$-choosable, since $\Theta_{3,3,3}$ is obtained from $\Theta_{4,4,4}$ by applying this reduction to a vertex of degree 3.

Likewise, $\Theta_{2,2,2,2t+2}$ is obtained from $\Theta_{2,2,2,2t}$ by applying this reduction to a vertex of degree 2; hence, since $\Theta_{2,2,2,4}$ is not (4:2)-choosable, it follows by induction on t that when $t \geq 2$, the graph $\Theta_{2,2,2,2t}$ is not $(4:2)$-choosable. Similarly, since $\Theta_{3,3,3}$ is not $(4:2)$-choosable, no graph of the form $\Theta_{2r+1,2s+1,2t+1}$ for $r, s, t \geq 1$

is $(4:2)$-choosable, and since $\Theta_{4,4,4}$ is not $(4:2)$-choosable, no graph of the form $\Theta_{2r,2s,2t}$ for $r,s,t \geq 2$ is $(4:2)$-choosable.

7. A Conjecture of Voigt

Voigt [6] conjectured that every bipartite 3-choosable-critical graph is $(4m:2m)$-choosable for all m. We have seen that this conjecture fails for $m = 1$: there exist non-$(4:2)$-choosable 3-choosable-critical graphs. However, one can prove the following weaker version of Voigt's conjecture:

Theorem 7.1. *There is an integer k such that for every positive integer m, every bipartite 3-choosable-critical graph is $(4mk:2mk)$-choosable.*

Our proof is based on the following theorem of Alon, Tuza, and Voigt [1].

Theorem 7.2 (Alon–Tuza–Voigt [1])**.** *For every integer n there exists a number $f(n) \leq (n+1)^{2n+2}$ such that the following holds. For every graph G with n vertices and with fractional chromatic number χ^*, and for every integer M which is divisible by all integers from 1 to $f(n)$, G is $(M:M/\chi^*)$-choosable.*

Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 suggest that when n is odd, the "worst case" tuples $(A, \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_n)$ are those satisfying $|A|+|\hat{X}_1|+|\hat{X}_n| = 4m$. The following lemma shows that any such sets can be "realized" on a path of length 3:

Lemma 7.3. *Let B, Y, Z be sets such that $B \cap Y = \emptyset$, $B \cap Z = \emptyset$, and $|B|+|Y|+|Z| = 4m$. There exists a list assignment L on P_3 such that:*

- *$|L(v)| = 4m$ for all $v \in V(P_3)$, and*
- *$(A, \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_3) = (B, Y, Z)$, and*
- *$S_L(P_3) = 8m$.*

Proof. Let J_1 and J_2 be sets disjoint from each other and disjoint from $B \cup Y \cup Z$ such that

$$|J_1| = 4m - |B| - |Y|,$$
$$|J_2| = 4m - |B| - |Z|.$$

Observe that

$$|B| + |J_1| + |J_2| = 8m - |B| - |Y| - |Z| = 4m.$$

Consider the following list assignment on P_3:

$$L(v_1) = B \cup Y \cup J_1,$$
$$L(v_2) = B \cup J_1 \cup J_2,$$
$$L(v_3) = B \cup Z \cup J_2.$$

It is easy to verify that L has the desired properties. □

Lemma 7.3 allows us to obtain a partial converse of Lemma 4.1, subject to certain restrictions on the choice of the vertex v.

Lemma 7.4. *Let G be a graph containing a path P on 5 vertices which all have degree 2 in G, and let G' be the graph obtained by deleting the middle vertex of P and merging its neighbors. G is $(4m:2m)$-choosable if and only if G' is $(4m:2m)$-choosable.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, it suffices to show that if G' is $(4m:2m)$-choosable, then G is $(4m:2m)$-choosable.

Let L be any list assignment for G such that $|L(v)| = 4m$ for all $v \in V(G)$, and let $A, \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_5$ be computed relative to P. We will define sets B, Y, Z based on $A, \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_5$ and apply Lemma 7.3 to obtain a list assignment L' on the shorter path P'. The definition is slightly different depending on whether $|A|+|\hat{X}_1|+|\hat{X}_5| \leq 4m$: we either arbitrarily add elements or arbitrarily remove elements in order to reach the desired sum.

- When $|A|+|\hat{X}_1|+|\hat{X}_5| \leq 4m$, let B, Y, Z be arbitrary supersets of $A, \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_n$ respectively such that $B \cap Y = \emptyset$, $B \cap Z = \emptyset$, and $|B| + |Y| + |Z| = 4m$.
- When $|A| + |\hat{X}_1| + |\hat{X}_5| > 4m$, let B, Y, Z be arbitrary subsets of $A, \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_5$ respectively, such that $|B| + |Y| + |Z| = 4m$.

In either case, we may apply Lemma 7.3 to obtain a list assignment L' on the shorter path P' such that:

- $|L'(v)| = 4m$ for all $v \in V(P')$, and
- $(A', \hat{X}_1', \hat{X}_3') = (B, Y, Z)$, and
- $S_{L'}(P') = 8m$.

We extend L' to all of G' by defining $L'(v) = L(v)$ for $v \notin V(P')$.

Let $G_0 = G' - V(P') = G - V(P)$, and let w, z be the neighbors of v_1', v_3' in G_0, respectively. Since G' is $(4m:2m)$-choosable, Lemma 2.6 says there is a proper $(L' : 2m)$-colouring ϕ of G_0 such that $\mathrm{dam}(\phi(w), \phi(z); B, Y, Z, 3) \leq 2m$. By the construction of (B, Y, Z) together with Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, this implies

$$\mathrm{dam}_{L,P}(\phi(w), \phi(z)) \leq S_L(P) - 10m.$$

Applying Lemma 2.6 in the other direction, we see that G is $(L : 2m)$-colourable. Since L was arbitrary, G is $(4m:2m)$-choosable. □

Proof of Theorem 7.1. There are only finitely many bipartite 3-choosable-critical graphs which are minimal with respect to the reduction of Lemma 7.4. In particular, all such graphs have at most 14 vertices, the largest such graph being $\Theta_{5,5,5}$. Applying Theorem 7.2, we obtain a number $f(14)$ such that if $k/4$ is divisible by all numbers up to $f(n)$, then all minimal bipartite 3-choosable-critical graphs are $(4k{:}2k)$ choosable. In particular, fixing the smallest such k and applying Lemma 7.4, we see that all bipartite 3-choosable-critical graphs are $(4mk : 2mk)$-choosable for all m. □

8. Characterizing the $(4:2)$-Choosable Graphs: A Conjecture

Having determined which 3-choosable-critical graphs are $(4:2)$-choosable, the next natural step in investigating $(4:2)$-choosability is to characterize *all* $(4:2)$-choosable graphs, mirroring Rubin's characterization of the 2-choosable graphs [2]. As Theorem 1.2 shows, the $(4:2)$-choosable graphs have considerably more variety than the 2-choosable graphs, so the proof of any such characterization is likely to be much more involved than Rubin's proof.

Rubin observed that G is 2-choosable if and only if its core is 2-choosable, and the same observation holds for $(4{:}2)$-choosability. It clearly also suffices to consider only connected graphs, so we restrict to the case where G is connected with minimum degree at least 2.



FIGURE 4. Exceptional graphs in Conjecture 8.1. Wavy lines represent paths with an arbitrary odd number of vertices. Dotted lines represent paths of with an arbitrary number (any parity) of vertices, possibly 1.



FIGURE 5. One possible realization of the lower-left graph in Figure 4.

Conjecture 8.1. *If G is a connected graph with $\delta(G) \geq 2$, then G is* (4:2)*-choosable if and only if one of the following holds:*

- *G is* 2*-choosable, or*
- *G is one of the* 3*-choosable-critical graphs listed in Theorem 1.2, or*
- *G is one of the exceptional graphs shown in Figure 4.*

Figure 4 contains some complex visual notation used to represent parameterized families of graphs; Figure 5 shows an example of how to interpret this notation.

Conjecture 8.1 is supported by substantial evidence. Through computer search, we determined that among all graphs with at most 9 vertices, only the graphs given by Conjecture 8.1 are $(4:2)$-choosable. It appears that all graphs with a larger number of vertices are either one of the $(4:2)$-choosable graphs listed in Conjecture 8.1, or contain some subgraph already known to be non-(4:2)-choosable.

A list of "small" minimal non-$(4:2)$-choosable graphs, each with a nonchoosable list assignment, is given in Figure 6. Each of the list assignments was found by computer search. The variety of these graphs represents a significant obstruction to any proof of Conjecture 8.1, which would seem to require a correspondingly complex structure theorem. While we believe that such a proof could be found, it would likely be quite long and beyond the scope of this paper.

The computer analysis for the positive direction of Conjecture 8.1 is based on Lemma 2.6. Each of the graphs in Figure 4 has a small set of vertices X such that $G - X$ is a linear forest. Rather than generating all list assignments for the entire graph G, it suffices to generate all list assignments for X, and for each list assignment, to generate the possible tuples $(A, \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_n)$ for each of the paths in

$G - X$. For each such tuple, we then search for a partial colouring ϕ of $G[X]$ that satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.6.

However, we have not been able to find a human-readable proof that the exceptional graphs in Conjecture 8.1 are indeed $(4:2)$-choosable, nor have we been able to prove the structure theorem alluded to above (and without a formal proof of the result, we retain a healthy skepticism regarding the correctness of the computer program).

References

1. N. Alon, Zs. Tuza, and M. Voigt, *Choosability and fractional chromatic numbers*, Discrete Math. **165/166** (1997), 31–38, Graphs and combinatorics (Marseille, 1995). MR 1439258 (97k:05066)
2. Paul Erdős, Arthur L. Rubin, and Herbert Taylor, *Choosability in graphs*, Proceedings of the West Coast Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing (Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, Calif., 1979) (Winnipeg, Man.), Congress. Numer., XXVI, Utilitas Math., 1980, pp. 125–157. MR 593902 (82f:05038)
3. Zs. Tuza and M. Voigt, *Every 2-choosable graph is* $(2m, m)$*-choosable*, J. Graph Theory **22** (1996), no. 3, 245–252. MR 1394326 (97f:05074)
4. Zsolt Tuza, *Graph colorings with local constraints—a survey*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **17** (1997), no. 2, 161–228. MR 1627932 (99e:05055)
5. Zsolt Tuza and Margit Voigt, *On a conjecture of Erdős, Rubin and Taylor*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **9** (1996), 69–82, Cycles and colourings '94 (Stará Lesná, 1994). MR 1402950 (98c:05070)
6. M. Voigt, *On list colourings and choosability of graphs*, 1998.

(Jixian Meng, Xuding Zhu) Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University

(Gregory J. Puleo) Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign



FIGURE 6. Some non-$(4 : 2)$-choosable graphs.



FIGURE 6. Some non-$(4:2)$-choosable graphs.